

Larry Tay City Traffic Engineer

Nathalie Adourian Sr. Assistant City Attorney

Francisco Vasquez Administrative Assistant

# AGENDA

City Traffic Commission

December 14, 2022

# 5:30 PM Regular Session

City Council Chamber 300 E. Chapman Avenue Orange, CA 92866 ADAM FELIZ Chair

> A.J. RICCI Vice Chair

CHRISTIAN VAUGHAN Commissioner

> LARRY DICK Commissioner

VACANT Commissioner

Welcome to the City Traffic Commission Meeting. Regular meetings of the City of Orange Traffic Commission are held the second Wednesday of each month at 5:30 p.m.

#### Agenda Information

The agenda contains a brief general description of each item to be considered. Written materials relating to an item on the agenda that are provided to the Traffic Commission after agenda packet distribution and within 72 hours before it is to consider the item will be made available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office located at 300 E. Chapman Avenue, Orange, during normal business hours; at the Traffic Commission meeting; and made available on the City's website.

#### **Public Participation**

Pursuant to Government Code Section 54954.3, members of the public may address the Traffic Commission on any agenda items or matters within the jurisdiction of the governing body by using any of the following methods:

#### 1) In-person Comments

To speak on an item on the agenda, complete a speaker card indicating your name and address, and identifying the agenda item number or subject matter you wish to address. The card should be given to City staff prior to the start of the meeting. General comments are received during the "Public Comments" section at the beginning of the meeting. No action may be taken on off-agenda items unless authorized by law. Public Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker unless a different time limit is announced. It is requested that you state your name for the record, then proceed to address the Commission. All speakers shall observe civility, decorum, and good behavior.

#### 2) Written Public Comments via email or eComment

Members of the public can submit their written comments electronically for the Traffic Commission's consideration by emailing them to TCpubliccomment@cityoforange.org with the subject line "Public Comment Item # (insert the item number relevant to the comment)" or "Public Comment Non-agenda Item" for general public comments. The public can also submit written comments on the City's eComment page by visiting the City's website and clicking on the eComment link for this meeting. To ensure distribution to the Traffic Commission prior to consideration of the agenda, we encourage the public to submit comments by 3:00 p.m. the day of the meeting. All public comments received for this agenda will be provided to the Commissioners, posted on the City's website, and compiled as part of the record.

Please contact the City Clerk's Office at (714) 744-5500 with any questions.

ADA Requirements: In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act, if you need accommodations to participate in this meeting, contact the Clerk's office at (714) 744-5500. Notification at least 48 hours in advance of meeting will enable the City to make arrangements to assure accessibility to this meeting.

#### REMINDER: Please silence all electronic devices while Traffic Commission is in session.

#### DATE ACTIONS BECOME EFFECTIVE

The actions of the City Traffic Commission shall be final within fifteen (15) days of that action unless:

• City, County, or State statutes require a specific City Council action or approval.

• A written appeal is filed with the Public Works Department within the fifteen (15) day period.

• Approved traffic improvements with a significant fiscal impact that have not been budgeted within the Capital Improvement Program require City Council approval.

#### APPEAL PROCEDURE

A written appeal of a City Traffic Commission determination must be submitted to the office of the City Traffic Engineer within fifteen (15) calendar days of that Commission action, and clearly state the basis for the appeal and the error made by the City Traffic Commission in reaching their decision. This appeal shall be accompanied by an initial deposit of \$500.00; call Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst, at (714) 744-5540 to prepare an estimate for you.

The City Clerk, upon filing of said appeal, will agendize your appeal as an informal hearing before the City Council within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt. All owners of property located within 300 feet of the project site will be notified of said hearing. For additional information, please call (714) 744-5540.

#### 1. OPENING/CALL TO ORDER

#### 1.1 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

#### 1.2 ROLL CALL

#### 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

Opportunity for members of the public to address the Traffic Commission on matters not listed on the agenda which are within the subject matter jurisdiction of the Commission, provided that NO action may be taken on off-agenda items unless authorized by law. Public Comments are limited to three (3) minutes per speaker.

#### 3. CONSENT CALENDAR

All matters listed under the Consent Calendar are considered to be routine by the City Traffic Commission and will be enacted by one motion. There will be no separate discussion of said items unless members of the Commission, staff or the public request specific items removed from the Consent Calendar for separate action.

# 3.1. Approval of meeting minutes of the Traffic Commission of the City of Orange for the October 12, 2022, Regular Meeting.

#### **Recommended Action:**

Approve minutes as presented.

 Attachments:
 Staff Report

 October 12, 2022 Regular Meeting minutes

#### 4. CONSIDERATION ITEMS

4.1. Removal of two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street, in front of 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

#### **Recommended Action:**

Approve the removal of the two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street adjacent to 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

#### Attachments: Staff Report

<u>Letter of Request</u> <u>Area Map</u> <u>CTC Report from September 10, 2014</u>

#### 4.2. Stop control at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street.

#### **Recommended Action:**

Approve the installation of a two-way stop control for east and westbound Culver Avenue at its intersection with Greengrove Street.

### Attachments: Staff Report Mayor's Hotline Request Area Map

#### 4.3. Stop control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Street.

#### **Recommended Action:**

Approve the installation of a two way stop control for east and westbound Everett Place at Maplewood Street.

Attachments: Staff Report Letter of Request Go Gov Request Area Map

#### 5. ADMINISTRATIVE REPORTS

#### 6. ADJOURNMENT

The next Regular City Traffic Commission Meeting will be held on Wednesday, February 8, 2023, at 5:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber.

I, Francisco Vasquez, Administrative Assistant for the City of Orange, hereby declare, under penalty of perjury, that a full and correct copy of this agenda was posted pursuant to Government Code Section 54950 et. seq., at the following locations: Orange Civic Center kiosk and Orange City Clerk's Office at 300 E. Chapman Avenue, Orange Main Public Library at 407 E. Chapman Avenue, Police facility at 1107 N. Batavia, and uploaded to the City's website www.cityoforange.org.

Date posted: December 8, 2022



# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 3.	.1. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0707
TO:	City Traffic Commission	
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer	
FROM:	Francisco Vasquez, Administrative Assistant	

# 1. SUBJECT

Approval of meeting minutes of the Traffic Commission of the City of Orange for the October 12, 2022, Regular Meeting.

# 2. SUMMARY

Submitted for your consideration and approval are the minutes of the above meeting(s).

# 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve minutes as presented.

# 4. ATTACHMENTS

• October 12, 2022 Regular Meeting minutes.

5



# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 3.	.1. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0707
то:	City Traffic Commission	
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer	
FROM:	Francisco Vasquez, Administrative Assistant	

# 1. SUBJECT

Approval of meeting minutes of the Traffic Commission of the City of Orange for the October 12, 2022, Regular Meeting.

# 2. SUMMARY

Submitted for your consideration and approval are the minutes of the above meeting(s).

# 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve minutes as presented.

# 4. ATTACHMENTS

• October 12, 2022 Regular Meeting minutes.

6

#### **MINUTES - DRAFT**

#### **City of Orange**

#### **City Traffic Commission**

October 12, 2022

The Traffic Commission of the City of Orange, California convened on October 12, 2022, at 5:30 p.m. in a Regular Meeting in the Council Chamber, 300 E. Chapman Avenue, Orange, California.

#### 1. OPENING/CALL TO ORDER

Vice Chair Feliz called the meeting to order at 5:31 p.m.

#### 1.1 PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

Commissioner Ricci led the flag salute.

#### 1.2 ROLL CALL

**Present:** Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and Ricci **Absent:** None

#### 1.3 RECOGNITION OF FORMER CITY TRAFFIC COMMISSION CHAIRMAN JIM BEIL

#### 2. PUBLIC COMMENT

#### Public Speakers Bob Birschbach raised concerns about speeding on Sycamore Street and parking enforcement.

#### 3. CONSENT CALENDAR

# 3.1. Approval of meeting minutes of the Traffic Commission of the City of Orange for the May 11, 2022, Special Meeting.

ACTION: A motion was made by Vice Chair Feliz, seconded by Commissioner Ricci, to approve Consent Calendar as presented. The motion carried by the following vote: **Ayes:** Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and Ricci

Noes: None Absent: None

#### 4. CONSIDERATION ITEMS

4.1. Request for one-way-stop control at the intersection of Widdows Way and Palmdale Avenue.

#### Public Speakers

Alana Snavely raised concerns about traffic jams and safety issues on Widdows Way and Palmdale Avenue.

A motion was made by Commissioner Dick, seconded by Commissioner Vaughan, to approve the request. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes:Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and RicciNoes:None

Absent: None

#### 4.2. City Council approved updates to City's Neighborhood Permit Parking Program

A motion was made by Commissioner Ricci, seconded by Commissioner Vaughan, to receive and file. The motion carried by the following vote:
 Ayes: Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and Ricci
 Noes: None

Absent: None

4.3. Request to implement permit parking on both sides of Lilac Lane from Culver Avenue to Orchard Avenue; both sides of Plum Lane from Culver Avenue to Orchard Avenue; and both sides of Orchard Avenue from Flower Street to the easterly terminus of the street.

<u>Public Speakers</u> The following spoke in support: Diane Vallejo Carol Moss Holmes Jerry Oviedo Rose Anne Paton

The following raised concerns about parking on Flower Street: Kameron Krause

Ken Krause

A motion was made by Commissioner Vaughan, seconded by Commissioner Ricci, to approve the request, and forward to City Council for final approval. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes:Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and RicciNoes:NoneAbsent:None

#### 5. COMMISSION BUSINESS

#### 5.1. Selection of Traffic Commission Officers.

A motion was made by Commissioner Ricci, seconded by Commissioner Vaughan to select Adam Feliz as Chairman and AJ Ricci as Vice Chairman effective October 13, 2022, for a two-year term. The motion carried by the following vote:

Ayes:Feliz, Vaughan, Dick, and RicciNoes:NoneAbsent:None

#### 6. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business, the meeting was adjourned at 6:08 p.m.

The next Regular City Traffic Commission Meeting will be held on Wednesday, December 14, 2022, at 5:30 p.m., in the Council Chamber.

LARRY TAY CITY TRAFFIC ENGINEER



# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 4.	1. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0699		
TO:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission			
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer			
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst			

# 1. SUBJECT

Removal of two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street, in front of 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

# 2. SUMMARY

Upon request by a nearby business owner, the City Traffic Commission approved time-limited parking on portions of Waverly Street, including at the subject location in 2014. Current parking conditions have changed to the extent that the business owner abutting the subject location would like the restriction removed along their frontage.

# 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the removal of the two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street adjacent to 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal 1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

In September 2014 and in response to a request by a local business owner, The City Traffic Commission (CTC) approved time-limited (2-hour) parking on Waverly Street, from Chapman Avenue to the south property lines of 642 and 1006 Chapman Avenue, on the west and east sides of Waverly Street, respectively. The basis for that approval was to encourage parking turnover for the area businesses on Waverly Street in proximity to Chapman Avenue.

There are two segments of "2-HOUR" Parking on the west side of Waverly Street. One is adjacent to 940 E. Chapman Avenue (north of the first driveway) and approximately 70 feet in length; the other is adjacent to the proponent's building at 942 E. Chapman Avenue (south of the first driveway) and approximately 60 feet in length. The proponent is requesting that the latter segment, in front of his business, revert back to unrestricted parking (no time limit).

Time-limited parking may be beneficial, depending on localized parking demands and operational

needs of the adjacent business(es). Moreover, it may be implemented or removed by the CTC at an affected business owner's request. Should this particular request be approved (time-limited parking eliminated) and parking conditions change, a future request can be made to have the time-limited parking reinstated.

Staff has no objections to the removal of two-hour parking restrictions adjacent to 942 E. Chapman Avenue and, therefore, respectfully recommends that the CTC approve the request.

The proponent and the affected residents within 300 feet of the affected area were notified of the CTC meeting.

# 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Letter of Request
- Area Map
- CTC Report from September 10, 2014



# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 4.	1. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0699		
TO:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission			
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer			
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst			

# 1. SUBJECT

Removal of two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street, in front of 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

# 2. SUMMARY

Upon request by a nearby business owner, the City Traffic Commission approved time-limited parking on portions of Waverly Street, including at the subject location in 2014. Current parking conditions have changed to the extent that the business owner abutting the subject location would like the restriction removed along their frontage.

# 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the removal of the two-hour parking restriction on Waverly Street adjacent to 942 E. Chapman Avenue.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal 1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

In September 2014 and in response to a request by a local business owner, The City Traffic Commission (CTC) approved time-limited (2-hour) parking on Waverly Street, from Chapman Avenue to the south property lines of 642 and 1006 Chapman Avenue, on the west and east sides of Waverly Street, respectively. The basis for that approval was to encourage parking turnover for the area businesses on Waverly Street in proximity to Chapman Avenue.

There are two segments of "2-HOUR" Parking on the west side of Waverly Street. One is adjacent to 940 E. Chapman Avenue (north of the first driveway) and approximately 70 feet in length; the other is adjacent to the proponent's building at 942 E. Chapman Avenue (south of the first driveway) and approximately 60 feet in length. The proponent is requesting that the latter segment, in front of his business, revert back to unrestricted parking (no time limit).

Time-limited parking may be beneficial, depending on localized parking demands and operational

12

needs of the adjacent business(es). Moreover, it may be implemented or removed by the CTC at an affected business owner's request. Should this particular request be approved (time-limited parking eliminated) and parking conditions change, a future request can be made to have the time-limited parking reinstated.

Staff has no objections to the removal of two-hour parking restrictions adjacent to 942 E. Chapman Avenue and, therefore, respectfully recommends that the CTC approve the request.

The proponent and the affected residents within 300 feet of the affected area were notified of the CTC meeting.

# 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Letter of Request
- Area Map
- CTC Report from September 10, 2014

Brian Winter, CPA From: Thursday, November 3, 2022 11:49 AM Sent: To: Dave Allenbach Fwd: 942 E. Chapman Removal Of Two Hour Parking Subject: Brian Winter, CPA ? Winter & Lozano CPAs, Inc. ? 942 E. Chapman Ave, Orange, CA, 92866 Please note - effective immediately, my email address has changed. Your current email has been automatically forwarded to my new account and you do not need to resend your message. Please update your address book accordingly. My primary email address is: Е IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein. This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited. ----- Forwarded message ------From: Brian Winter, CPA < Date: Thu, Nov 3, 2022 at 11:03 AM Subject: 942 E. Chapman Removal Of Two Hour Parking To: <Dave@cityoforange.org> Good Morning, Following up to our phone call the other day. I would like to formally request that the two hour parking in front of my building be removed. This is only to cover the small space directly in front of my office not the space in front of 940 E. Chapman Brian Winter, CPA ? Office: 2 Winter & Lozano CPAs, Inc. ? 942 E. Chapman Ave, Orange, CA, 92866 Please note - effective immediately, my email address has changed. Your current email has been automatically forwarded to my new account and you do not need to resend your message. Please update your address book accordingly. My primary email address is: L

14

IRS CIRCULAR 230 DISCLOSURE: To ensure compliance with requirements imposed by the IRS, we inform you that any U.S. tax advice

contained in this communication (including any attachments) is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i)

avoiding penalties under the Internal Revenue Code or (ii) promoting, marketing, or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.

This message (including any attachments) contains confidential information intended for a specific individual and purpose, and is protected

by law. If you are not the intended recipient, you should delete this message. Any disclosure, copying, or distribution of this message, or the taking of any action based on it, is strictly prohibited.





# **AGENDA ITEM**

#### **September 10, 2014**

- TO: Members of the City Traffic Commission
- THRU: Amir Farahani City Traffic Engineer

Reviewed/Verified By:

City Traffic Engineer

To Be Presented By: Dave Allenbach

FROM: Traffic Engineering Division Public Works Department

### 1. SUBJECT

Request for **"2-Hour" time limit parking on both sides of Waverly Street** from Chapman Avenue to the southerly property lines of the commercial properties addressed to 942 and 1006 E. Chapman Avenue.

### 2. SUMMARY

The request is to provide turn-over parking for the businesses on Chapman Avenue at Waverly Street.

#### 3. RECOMMENDED

Approve the request.

#### 4. FISCAL IMPACT

Funds are available in the following account:262.5032.56100.16302Traffic Control Devices

#### 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOAL(S)

1.5 Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

#### 6. GENERAL PLAN IMPLEMENTATION

Growth Management Goal 1.0: Reduce traffic congestion within the City.

**Policy 1.10:** Apply traffic calming measures, where appropriate, to residential neighborhoods affected by cut-through traffic in accordance with the City's Residential Neighborhood Traffic Management Program.

1

ITEM 1

September 10, 2014

Printed on Recycled Paper

#### 7. DISCUSSION and BACKGROUND

Dr. Montelongo of 923 E. Chapman Avenue is requesting the implementation of the 2- hour time limit parking on Waverly Street from Chapman Avenue adjacent to the commercial properties. Dr. Montelongo is requesting the time limit parking to provide short term on-street parking for the business' clients in the area.

This section of Waverly St. is approximately 600 ft. long and is mainly residentially developed. In July of this year Neighborhood Parking Permit Area Program was implemented in the residential area leaving only 133 ft. of unrestricted parking on the west side of Waverly St. and 64 ft. on the east side, a space available for 10 to 11 on-street parking spaces. According to the proponent many of the on-street spaces are being occupied all day by people from outside Waverly St.

Our field review indicates that at least seven of the ten to eleven parking spaces are occupied throughout most of the business day by the same vehicles. The subject area is fully occupied between the hours of 8:00 AM and 4:00 PM. The businesses in the subject area have limited off-street parking and need on-street parking for their clients when their own parking lots are full.

Based on our field review, it is staff's opinion that the request for time limit parking has been substantiated. It is therefore staff's recommendation that the Traffic Commission approve the request for 2-Hour Time Limit parking on both sides of Waverly St. from Chapman Ave. to the southerly property lines of 942 and 1006 E. Chapman Ave.

The proponent and all residents and businesses within 300 feet of the study area were notified of the Traffic Commission Meeting.

#### 8. ATTACHMENTS

- Letter of Request
- Areas Map
- Site Sketch

#### DA/af:pt

 2 Hr Pkg Waverly so Chapman [N:/PublicWorks/Traffic/CTC/2014 Reports/September]

#### F. Montelongo M.D, Inc

932 E. Chapman Ave

Orange C.A 92866

June 4, 2014

Dear Mr. Bach,

**Traffic Division** 

As owners of the two business properties at 932 & 952 on Chapman Ave to be affected by the Waverly Street neighborhood parking permit ordinance, we hereby request that a 9 am to 5 pm Monday to Friday 2 hour parking limit be implemented for the northern portion of both sides of Waverly Street not affected by the Waverly Street Neighborhood parking permit restrictions. Please e-mail me back receipt confirmation of this letter to

Thank you,

Dr. Montelongo

e-mail to dallenback@cityof orange.org.







# **City Traffic Commission**

ltem #: 4.2	2. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0703
то:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission	
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer	
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst	

# 1. SUBJECT

Stop control at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street.

# 2. SUMMARY

The City received a request to install yield control at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street. Upon evaluation, staff determined that stop signs controlling the minor approach would be more appropriate.

# 3. **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Approve the installation of a two-way stop control for east and westbound Culver Avenue at its intersection with Greengrove Street.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

A nearby resident requested that YIELD signs be implemented at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Avenue. The resident was informed that a stop control traffic study would be conducted in lieu of YIELD signs. The results are as follows:

#### Existing Conditions

Both Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street are classified as local streets in the study area. Culver Avenue runs in an east-west direction terminating in a cul-de-sac 350 ft. east of Greengrove Street. Greengrove Street runs in a north-south direction. Both streets are residentially developed with single family homes. Curbside parking is allowed on both streets in the study area and demand appears to be low. The speed limit for both streets is a prima facia 25 MPH. The subject intersection is uncontrolled; however, both streets meet at right angles with adequate sight distance.

#### Item #: 4.2.

#### Accident History

The accident history at the subject intersection was reviewed for the past three-year period. Our records indicate that there have been no reported accidents at this location during that time.

#### Traffic Volume Characteristics

Turning movement counts were conducted at the subject intersection for both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. 73 vehicles were counted entering the intersection from all approaches during the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM). 57 vehicles were counted entering the intersection during the PM peak hour (4:30-5:30 PM).

#### Intersection Geometry

The subject intersection has good sight distance for all approaches.

#### Warrant Analysis

The Traffic Engineering Division typically recommends STOP controls based on the following criteria:

- 1. <u>Accident History</u> Three or more correctable accidents in a 12-month period. *There were no reported accidents for the past 12-month period.*
- 2. <u>Traffic Volume</u> A total of 100 vehicles entering the subject intersection from all approaches during each of the peak hours of a typical day. 65 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the AM peak hour. 37 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the PM peak.
- 3. <u>Intersection Geometry/ Design</u> A geometric problem exists to the extent that a controlled flow of vehicular traffic through the intersection is required, and such control cannot be accomplished by less restrictive means. *Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street intersects at a right angle, sight distance is good for all approaches. Geometry does not create a problem for motorists.*

Based on the above warrant analysis, traffic volumes at the subject intersection are relatively low. However, the intersection is uncontrolled and implementing a two-way stop control would better assign the right of way and reduce the potential for accidents. Staff therefore recommends that the Traffic Commission approve the installation of a two-way-stop control on Culver Avenue at Greengrove Street.

Both the proponent and affected residents/businesses within 300 ft. of the subject intersection were notified of the CTC meeting.

# 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Mayor's Hotline Request
- Area Map



# **City Traffic Commission**

ltem #: 4.2	2. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0703
то:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission	
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer	
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst	

# 1. SUBJECT

Stop control at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street.

# 2. SUMMARY

The City received a request to install yield control at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street. Upon evaluation, staff determined that stop signs controlling the minor approach would be more appropriate.

# 3. **RECOMMENDED ACTION**

Approve the installation of a two-way stop control for east and westbound Culver Avenue at its intersection with Greengrove Street.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

A nearby resident requested that YIELD signs be implemented at the intersection of Culver Avenue and Greengrove Avenue. The resident was informed that a stop control traffic study would be conducted in lieu of YIELD signs. The results are as follows:

#### Existing Conditions

Both Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street are classified as local streets in the study area. Culver Avenue runs in an east-west direction terminating in a cul-de-sac 350 ft. east of Greengrove Street. Greengrove Street runs in a north-south direction. Both streets are residentially developed with single family homes. Curbside parking is allowed on both streets in the study area and demand appears to be low. The speed limit for both streets is a prima facia 25 MPH. The subject intersection is uncontrolled; however, both streets meet at right angles with adequate sight distance.

#### Item #: 4.2.

#### Accident History

The accident history at the subject intersection was reviewed for the past three-year period. Our records indicate that there have been no reported accidents at this location during that time.

#### Traffic Volume Characteristics

Turning movement counts were conducted at the subject intersection for both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. 73 vehicles were counted entering the intersection from all approaches during the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM). 57 vehicles were counted entering the intersection during the PM peak hour (4:30-5:30 PM).

#### Intersection Geometry

The subject intersection has good sight distance for all approaches.

#### Warrant Analysis

The Traffic Engineering Division typically recommends STOP controls based on the following criteria:

- 1. <u>Accident History</u> Three or more correctable accidents in a 12-month period. *There were no reported accidents for the past 12-month period.*
- 2. <u>Traffic Volume</u> A total of 100 vehicles entering the subject intersection from all approaches during each of the peak hours of a typical day. 65 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the AM peak hour. 37 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the PM peak.
- Intersection Geometry/ Design A geometric problem exists to the extent that a controlled flow of vehicular traffic through the intersection is required, and such control cannot be accomplished by less restrictive means. Culver Avenue and Greengrove Street intersects at a right angle, sight distance is good for all approaches. Geometry does not create a problem for motorists.

Based on the above warrant analysis, traffic volumes at the subject intersection are relatively low. However, the intersection is uncontrolled and implementing a two-way stop control would better assign the right of way and reduce the potential for accidents. Staff therefore recommends that the Traffic Commission approve the installation of a two-way-stop control on Culver Avenue at Greengrove Street.

Both the proponent and affected residents/businesses within 300 ft. of the subject intersection were notified of the CTC meeting.

# 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Mayor's Hotline Request
- Area Map

From: Karl Schmidt Sent: Wednesday, November 30, 2022 3:04 PM To: Dave Allenbach Subject: FW: Mitel voice message from MAYORHOTLINE, 5511 for mailbox 5546 Attachments: SVM105.wav

Culver and Greengrove

Karl Schmidt Assistant Engineer

Public Works Traffic Engineering 300 E. Chapman Ave | Orange, CA | 92866 Direct: (714) 744-5562 | kschmidt@cityoforange.org

-----Original Message-----From: Larry Tay <ltay@cityoforange.org> Sent: Friday, October 7, 2022 4:49 PM To: Dave Allenbach <dallenbach@cityoforange.org> Cc: Karl Schmidt <kschmidt@cityoforange.org>; Gabrielle Hayes <ghayes@cityoforange.org> Subject: FW: Mitel voice message from MAYORHOTLINE, 5511 for mailbox 5546

Hi Dave,

I spoke with the resident and informed her we would evaluate the intersection. This seems similar to Pixley/Culver? Anyway, can you please have a look and get back to Ms. Baptiste told

her to give you 3 weeks or so. .. Maybe bring Karl out on this one.

Thanks, Larry

----Original Message----From: Christopher Cash <ccash@cityoforange.org> Sent: Friday, October 7, 2022 8:39 AM To: Larry Tay <ltay@cityoforange.org> Subject: FW: Mitel voice message from MAYORHOTLINE, 5511 for mailbox 5546

Can you have someone handle...a traffic issue. Thanks.

-----Original Message-----From: Mitel Voice Mail <shoretel@cityoforange.org> Sent: Friday, October 7, 2022 8:37 AM To: Christopher Cash <ccash@cityoforange.org> Subject: Mitel voice message from MAYORHOTLINE, 5511 for mailbox 5546 You have received a voice mail message from MAYORHOTLINE, 5511 for mailbox 5546. Message length is 00:01:05. Message size is 510 KB.





# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 4.3	. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0705		
то:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission			
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer			
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst			

# 1. SUBJECT

Stop control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Street.

# 2. SUMMARY

The City received and evaluated a request to install STOP control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Street.

# 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the installation of a two way stop control for east and westbound Everett Place at Maplewood Street.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

A resident of Everett Place is requesting a stop control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Avenue. In response, a traffic study was conducted. The results are as follows:

#### Existing Conditions

Both Everett Place and Maplewood Street are classified as local streets in the study area. Everett Place runs in an east-west direction stop controls at California and Cambridge Streets 600 ft. east and west of the subject intersection respectively. Both streets are residentially developed with single family homes. Curbside parking is allowed on both streets in the study area and demand appears to be low. The speed limit for both streets is a prima facia 25 MPH. the subject intersection is uncontrolled; however, both streets meet at right angles with adequate sight distance.

#### Item #: 4.3.

#### Accident History

The accident history at the subject intersection was reviewed for the past three-year period. Our records indicate that there have been no reported accidents at this location during that time.

#### Traffic Volume Characteristics

Turning movement counts were conducted at the subject intersection for both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. 64 vehicles were counted entering the intersection from all approaches during the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM). 75 vehicles were counted entering the intersection during the PM peak hour (4:30-5:30 PM).

#### Intersection Geometry

The subject intersection has good sight distance for all approaches.

#### Warrant Analysis

The Traffic Engineering Division typically recommends STOP controls based on the following criteria:

- 1. <u>Accident History</u> Three or more correctable accidents in a 12-month period. *There were no reported accidents for the past 12-month period.*
- 2. <u>Traffic Volume</u> A total of 100 vehicles entering the subject intersection from all approaches during each of the peak hours of a typical day. *64 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the AM peak hour.* 75 *vehicles presently enter the intersection during the PM peak.*
- 3. <u>Intersection Geometry/ Design</u> A geometric problem exists to the extent that a controlled flow of vehicular traffic through the intersection is required, and such control cannot be accomplished by less restrictive means. *Everett Place and Maplewood Street intersects at a right angle, sight distance is good for all approaches. Geometry does not create a problem for motorists.*

Based on the above warrant analysis, traffic volumes at the subject intersection are relatively low, and the entering traffic volumes are fairly balanced at nearly a one-to-one ratio. The intersection is currently uncontrolled, and implementing a two-way-stop control would better assign right of way and reduce the potential for accidents. Staff therefore recommends that the Traffic Commission approve the installation of a two way stop control on Everett Place at Maplewood Street.

The proponent and the affected residents within 300 ft. of the subject intersection were notified of the CTC meeting.

### 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Letter of request
- Go Gov Request
- Area Map



# **City Traffic Commission**

Item #: 4.3	. 12/14/2022	File #: 22-0705		
то:	Chair and Members of the City Traffic Commission			
THRU:	Larry Tay, City Traffic Engineer			
FROM:	Dave Allenbach, Transportation Analyst			

# 1. SUBJECT

Stop control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Street.

# 2. SUMMARY

The City received and evaluated a request to install STOP control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Street.

### 3. RECOMMENDED ACTION

Approve the installation of a two way stop control for east and westbound Everett Place at Maplewood Street.

# 4. FISCAL IMPACT

The total expenditure for the project is \$500 and will be funded in Minor Traffic Control Devices (16302) through Traffic Improvement Measure M2 (263).

# 5. STRATEGIC PLAN GOALS

Goal1: Provide for a safe community

b. Provide and maintain infrastructure necessary to ensure the safety of the public.

# 6. DISCUSSION AND BACKGROUND

A resident of Everett Place is requesting a stop control at the intersection of Everett Place and Maplewood Avenue. In response, a traffic study was conducted. The results are as follows:

#### Existing Conditions

Both Everett Place and Maplewood Street are classified as local streets in the study area. Everett Place runs in an east-west direction stop controls at California and Cambridge Streets 600 ft. east and west of the subject intersection respectively. Both streets are residentially developed with single family homes. Curbside parking is allowed on both streets in the study area and demand appears to be low. The speed limit for both streets is a prima facia 25 MPH. the subject intersection is uncontrolled; however, both streets meet at right angles with adequate sight distance.

#### Item #: 4.3.

#### Accident History

The accident history at the subject intersection was reviewed for the past three-year period. Our records indicate that there have been no reported accidents at this location during that time.

#### Traffic Volume Characteristics

Turning movement counts were conducted at the subject intersection for both the AM and PM peak traffic hours. 64 vehicles were counted entering the intersection from all approaches during the AM peak hour (7:30-8:30 AM). 75 vehicles were counted entering the intersection during the PM peak hour (4:30-5:30 PM).

#### Intersection Geometry

The subject intersection has good sight distance for all approaches.

#### Warrant Analysis

The Traffic Engineering Division typically recommends STOP controls based on the following criteria:

- 1. <u>Accident History</u> Three or more correctable accidents in a 12-month period. *There were no reported accidents for the past 12-month period.*
- 2. <u>Traffic Volume</u> A total of 100 vehicles entering the subject intersection from all approaches during each of the peak hours of a typical day. *64 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the AM peak hour.* 75 vehicles presently enter the intersection during the PM peak.
- 3. <u>Intersection Geometry/ Design</u> A geometric problem exists to the extent that a controlled flow of vehicular traffic through the intersection is required, and such control cannot be accomplished by less restrictive means. *Everett Place and Maplewood Street intersects at a right angle, sight distance is good for all approaches. Geometry does not create a problem for motorists.*

Based on the above warrant analysis, traffic volumes at the subject intersection are relatively low, and the entering traffic volumes are fairly balanced at nearly a one-to-one ratio. The intersection is currently uncontrolled, and implementing a two-way-stop control would better assign right of way and reduce the potential for accidents. Staff therefore recommends that the Traffic Commission approve the installation of a two way stop control on Everett Place at Maplewood Street.

The proponent and the affected residents within 300 ft. of the subject intersection were notified of the CTC meeting.

### 7. ATTACHMENTS

- Letter of request
- Go Gov Request
- Area Map

From: Laurie Phillips • Wednesday, April 20, 2022 10:30 AM Sent: Dave Allenbach To: Traffic at Intersection of E. Everett Pl. and N. Maplewood St. Subject: Attn: Mr. Allenbach I am writing about my concerns for traffic at the intersection in front of my house. I live on the corner of E. Everett Pl. and N. Maplewood St. This intersection has never had any stop signs. I have lived here for thirty-eight years. Throughout those years, I have witnessed three collisions and too many near misses to quantify. Every day, I see cars speeding down E. Everett Pl. and up N. Maplewood St. I know some vehicles are going very fast in excess of thirty-five miles per hour and even faster than that. Our neighborhood has changed from 1984 when we moved here. It is increasingly Chapman student housing. The housing in the neighborhood averages five students per house. So, traffic has increased significantly, at least ten-fold. Add to home and ADU residents, student boarders, and visitors, traffic and parking is heavy. With such a turn over in residents, speed limits, stop signs, parking regulations, and unmarked intersections are often ignored. Right of way is reduced to the vehicle that is going faster. Many insults and road rage incidents have been observed by my family and I. This past weekend, my husband witnessed yet another near collision in which he believed could have resulted in a fatality. A speeding sedan with multiple occupants and a F-250 Ford truck. Each driver thought they had the right of way since there are no stop signs in any direction. After both vehicles swerved and skidded, an accident was avoided. I know of at least two occasions when a traffic observer has been sent to this intersection. Nothing came of this observation. In my opinion, he was not observing during peak periods of traffic. In all fairness, these speeding cars and near misses occur at all hours of the day. Drivers may not even be speeding but many assume thev have the right of way. When students are going to and from classes, when delivery companies are out, or people are going to and from work, driving is dangerous. I do not even like driving down my own street in my own neighborhood. I constantly have to be defensive and give up my fair right-of-way to speeding drivers. Please contact me in regards to this matter. I know I could get most of my neighbors to agree that this intersection is a traffic hazard. If necessary, I would get signatures to confirm this. I would like to see a stop sign at each corner, as many other neighbors would.

Thank you, Laurie Phillips

Add/Edit Request	Update & Exit Old	Update New Look	Cancel		Pr	int <u>Audit Trail</u>
Request: 7276     Entered on: 10/15/2022 11:54 AM						Long form
	Customer Information	Create another requ				
Last name: Sobel	First name: Diana		Phone:		Alt phone:	
Address	City:		State:		Zip code:	
* Topic: Traffic Signals and Streetlights		2 EMayta O	IT/Ave	124-4		E
Request type: Problem				Jen Je	anne s Homel	ar
Entered via: iPhone		a -				6
* Problem Location: 1042 E Everett PI, Orange		T OS FERRE	1 1 + PA 3 2			
Assigned to: Dave Allenbach Leave blan	k for automatic routing	EEverel		EEverett Pl	-	5-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1
Status: Open		the states	4-1-2-5	Res La		
Priority: Normal				EN STATIS		2
IP Address:		tian data @20	22 Imagery ©2022 , CNES / U.S. Geolog		nologies, Report a map err PAC/GEO	pr
Attachments: Add Attachments				,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		dinad
* Description: there is no stop sign at N Maplewood and	E Everett intersection and we wi	tness near collisions o	n a daily basis.			
Reason closed: This must be filled in to close the request.	Contents of field, and attachment	nts that are marked as	Send to Customer when	Closed, are e-mai	led for customer notifica	ation.
Due Date: 10/25/2022 Leave blank	for automatic calculation			Insert Reason Cl	osed: (Select messag	e from list)
Update & Exit Update Cancel						
Collaboration Area (internal notes, email correspondence)						
To add notes or send emails about this Request, en	ter message below or inser	t message (Select m	nessage from list)		, then press the app	propriate
button.		ferransen and a second se				
To add an internal note, or send a message to the custo characters) and the buttons to the right will enable. T		this request, enter	your message here (at :	least four	Send to Custon	ner
					Add Internal N	ote

Send to Employee(s)...

